# Starting condition investigation

Review core age-structured software and how they deal with this assumption

WHAM – Tim Miller

ASAP – Chris Legault

SAM – Anders Nielsen

SS – Rick Methot

CASAL2 – Me

Multifan-cl – Nick Davies

Email authors and ask them to check I have reflected their package correctly and review reference points and general input.

Ask if there other packages that I need to consider.

Ask for any other papers that they have come across on this topic that I have missed.

## Methods

Clarify some definitions, average recruitment, and average unfished SSB

### Stating conditions

Review of main packages

#### ASAP

Based on the document from (equation 9)

Where, and , where is an estimable initial fishing mortality by age. Ask about this estimated parameter?

#### SAM

This research focuses on non-state-space age-structured models, but for completeness we included the common initial conditions for age-structured state-space models using the paper from (Nielsen & Berg, 2014)This state-space age-structured model estimates the natural logarithm of numbers at age denoted by as an unobserved latent state. The initial conditions are estimated as random effects where

Sometimes a diffuse penalty/prior is needed on the initial stating state such as,

This formulation has no and parameters which means if the Beverton-Holt stock recruit is formulated as the traditional and parameterization described in

#### WHAM

I initially used Stock and Miller (2021) as my reference for this. However, I do not think it describes the initial age-structured conditions i.e. . I will check with authors, but for now, I am going to assume they are the same as ASAP (see below) or the same as SAM.

#### MULTIFAN-CL

There are multiple options in MULTIFAN-CL for estimating initial numbers at age (assuming a single region model). The first option is similar to SAM, which allows users to estimate initial numbers at age as fixed-effect parameter (Is there a transformation on this? i.e., log NAA)

The second approach is similar to the ASAP but changes the definition of and removes the age-specific deviations . The initial numbers at age are,

, where is an estimable parameter and is the recruitment deviation which assumes.

The third approach is the same as the second approach but assumes , where is the average fishing mortality over the set of years denoted by , generally the some initial year period. This assumes you are estimating annual fishing mortality rates as fixed effect parameters. If you are deriving F as catch conditioned using a Newton Raphson algorithm then you may need to estimate an initial Fishing mortality.

#### Estimation model in simulations

EM 1 estimate just initial age-deviations (

Where, and .

EM 2 estimate just

EM 3 – estimate initial age deviations ( and

EM 4 – estimate log numbers at age as fixed effect parameters

### Reference points

Static depletion

Dynamic depletion

Depletion based on initial year SSB (not )

Spawner per recruit (SPR)

Set and assume , where , and , where is the fishery selectivity

Where, is the weight at age and the proportion mature for age , is the proportion of total mortality () taken before SSB is calculated. Using the same idea you can also calculate Yield per recruit assuming the Baranov catch equation.

Yield per recruit (YPR)

Set and assume , where , and , where is the fishery selectivity

Where, is the weight at age and the proportion mature for age . Using the same idea you can also calculate Yield per recruit assuming the Baranov catch equation.

YPR is used to derive the reference points which is the F that maximize the YPR curve and which is the F corresponding to when the gradient of equals 0.1.

Maximum sustainable yield ()

Estimating Reference points

We used the same minimization criteria to estimate reference points as described by (**add reference**). Estimation of reference points was done inside the EM with other estimated parameters. This was done to leverage the automatic standard error derivation that TMB does which will include parameter uncertainty from related estimated parameters.

### Simulation

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Fast (flatfish) | Medium | Long |
| Age plus group | 50 | 50 | 100 |
| Natural Mortality (M) | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
| Steepness (h) | 0.85 | 0.65 | 0.50 |
| Maximum length ( | 58 | 34 | 64 |
| Growth coefficient () | 0.133 | 0.115 | 0.047 |
| Body weight | | | |
| Growth coefficient () |  |  |  |
| Growth coefficient () | 3.50 | 3.17 | 3.17 |
| Maturity (logistic) |  |  |  |
| Age at 50% maturity | 4.5 | 9 | 19.5 |
| Width for 95% mature | 1.8 | 3.2 | 6.4 |
| Fishery selectivity (logistic) | | | |
| Age at 50% selective () | 7 | 7 | 15 |
| Width for 95% selective () | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| Survey selectivity (logistic) | | | |
| Age at 50% selective () | 5 | 3 | 10 |
| Width for 95% selective () | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| Survey catchability () | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Survey AF sample size () | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Survey index standard deviation () | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| Fishery AF sample size () | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Catch standard deviation () | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |

### Operating Model

#### Process dynamics

Where is the annual recruitment for age 1 which follows the Beverton-Holt stock recruitment relationship based on the steepness formulation from (**get mace and doonan reference**)

is the steepness parameter, is the spawning stock biomass in year , is the long term average unfished recruitment, is the spawning biomass that results from and applying only natural mortality, and are annual recruitment deviations which have the following penalty applied to the joint objective function,

Spawning biomass is calculated as,

Where, is the numbers at age, is mean weight at age and is the proportion mature for age and is the proportion of total mortality that is applied before calculating SSB.

Total mortality

* is the sex and year specific fishery selectivity
* is the annual fishing mortality rate for fishery
* is the annual natural mortality rate.

Catch at age was derived using the Baranov catch equation,

With predicted annual catch biomass calculated as,

Both fishing and survey selectivity’s were assumed to be logistic following,

#### Observation dynamics

Each simulation generated a relative index of biomass from the survey with accompanying age-frequency, in addition to a fishery age-frequency.

Fishery dependent age-frequencies expected proportions were calculated as

Where, and observed numbers at age are denoted by and assumed to be multinomial distribution

Survey predicted numbers at age are denoted by are calculated as

Where, is the proportion of the year that the survey observations occur and is the survey selectivity.

The survey index of biomass in year is calculated as

Where, is the survey catchability. The observed relative index of biomass is assumed to be lognormally distributed,

Survey age-frequencies are similar to the fishery age-frequencies where, survey predicted numbers at age are normalized to sum to one over all ages in a given year,

Where, and observed numbers at age are denoted by and assumed to be multinomial distribution

Observed annual catch is also assumed to be lognormally distributed,